

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,734 08/01/2003		Robert James Weikert	R0087D-DIV	3886
24372 7	7590 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER
ROCHE PAL	O ALTO LLC		KIFLE, BRUCK	
PATENT LAW DEPT. M/S A2-250 3431 HILLVIEW AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,734	WEIKERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
٠ پ	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply viil, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON intel. cause the apolication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the malling date of this communication. AMDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 August 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Tr	nis action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition for allow closed.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 33-45 is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-45</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) Dobjected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	ents have been received, ents have been received in A riority documents have been	pplication No
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a l 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	ist of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specifica	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.
a) The translation of the foreign language	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not 		oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL -326 (Pey 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 20031218

Application/Control Number: 10/632,734

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- i) In claim 1, the phrase "A compound comprising Formula I" is open-ended. The phrase "A compound of the formula" is suggested. The term "comprising" is non-limiting. Deletion of the term "comprising" is suggested.
- ii) The term "prodrug" is indefinite because one cannot say what this looks like.
- iii) In claim 40 it is not known which disease state is alleviated with a M2/M3 muscarinic antagonist and which one is not. It is unknown who is in need of treatment and what is being treated. One cannot say for sure whether a given subject has a disease state which is alleviated by treatment with a M2/M3 muscarinic antagonist or not.
- iv) Claim 41 lacks antecedent basis in claim 40 and claim 43 lacks antecedent basis in claim 41.Appropriate correction is required.
- v) In claims 41-44, improper Markush language is used. It is suggested to rewrite the claims, using language such as, "selected from the group consisting of' in place of "comprises".
- vi) In claim 45, the term "general" (two occurrences) implies more than what is positively recited in the claims. Deletion is suggested.

Applicant is advised that should claim 38 be found allowable, claim 39 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing,

Application/Control Number: 10/632,734

Art Unit: 1624

despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The intended use of a composition does not have patentability weight. The claims are drawn to the same pharmaceutical composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

BK December 18, 2003